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Exploring Difference –
International Perspectives on Teacher Training

The tenth conference in the ID-E Berlin series will focus on perspectives from anglophone countries and Finland on teacher training and how to ensure that teachers become more internationally and culturally competent. The discussions will address the following topics and questions:

1) What makes a good teacher?
   a. What are the central characteristics and educational aims of teacher training in your country?
   b. How can the best students, particularly those from ethnic minorities, be persuaded to join the teaching profession?

2) Internationalisation and diversity (How to enhance future teachers’ intercultural competences?)
   a. What role do mobility, internationalisation and intercultural competence play in teacher training at your universities and teacher training institutions? Are there strategies in place and efforts being made to increase internationalisation and exchanges?
   b. How can the intercultural competence needed for working with a diverse student body be taught to and required of teachers?

3) Structure of teacher training studies:
   a. What is the relationship between the three main elements of teacher training?
      i. Subject specific qualification
      ii. Pedagogical content (education theory, teaching methods)
      iii. Teaching practice in schools
      And how are they related, cross referenced and/or differentiated in the modular BA/MA structure?
   b. In which of these areas are mobility and internationalisation most important, particularly underdeveloped or at all possible (and why)?

Drawing on examples from their own education systems and at their own institutions, a detailed understanding of the different systems and new developments in teacher training will be elicited from experts from Australia, Canada, Finland, the United Kingdom and the United States of America.

Programme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13.00 – 13.30</td>
<td>Registration and Coffee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.30 – 14.00</td>
<td>Welcome and Opening Remarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Whitehead</td>
<td>Director, British Council Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulrich Grothus</td>
<td>Deputy Secretary-General, German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.00 – 16.00</td>
<td>Panel Discussion “Exploring Difference – International Perspectives on Teacher Training”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speakers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert J. Tierney</td>
<td>Honorary Professor, Faculty of Education and Social Work, University of Sydney (AUS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Richardson</td>
<td>Associate Dean, International, Faculty of Education, University of Alberta (CDN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirja-Tytti Talib</td>
<td>Adjunct Professor, Department of Teacher Education, University of Helsinki (FIN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norbert Pachler</td>
<td>Professor of Education, Pro-Director: Teaching, Quality and Learning Innovation, Institute of Education, University of London (UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan-Martin Wiarda</td>
<td>Head Communications and Media Relations, Helmholtz Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.00 – 16.30</td>
<td>Coffee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.30 – 18.00</td>
<td>Final Plenary and Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusions and recommendations for the national debate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.00 – 20.00</td>
<td>Reception &amp; Buffet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening event for conference “Internationalisierung der Lehrerbildung” which continues on 8 November at the Landesvertretung Nordrhein-Westfalen in Berlin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Internationalizing Teacher Education: Australian Perspectives

Global education is a dynamic feature of and pursuit of Faculties of Education throughout Australia. Increasingly our students are global, whether on exchange, as visitors, enrolled in customized or joint programs or among our linguistic agile, ethically critical, culturally astute and globally ambitious domestic students. Our Faculties offer a rich mix of possibilities, ranging from customized international program development to cross-national degrees focused upon global education issues, to multinational cooperative data collection which traces our teacher candidates and graduates engagement with issues of social justice, to agreements to support international education research engagements and joint programs with institutions in the Asia Pacific region. These initiatives represent a form of global development and mobility that is more varied, tailored, transformative, ethical and, at times, robust and dynamic.

At the same time internationalization of our teacher workforce is quite limited: overseas teachers seeking positions in Australia experience an extremely tedious process and an apparent reluctance to respect overseas qualifications; and most teacher education institutions do not address global issues directly tied to licensure demands overseas except in subsets of programs, cohorts preparing for international baccalaureate schools placement and larger contingents of students obtaining ESL credentials. Unfortunately, there is a concomitant lack of regard by governing authorities for the value of internationalizing of our own programs — i.e. to advance teacher mobility or standards that advance the preparation of or hiring of teachers with international credentials and who have the skills, understandings and credentials to work across borders. The infusion of global education standards within the national curriculum seems tied to diversity as an area of study rather than practice. This disconnect is brought into sharper focus by reluctance of accreditation authorities to recognize overseas practica and against the global character of the schools themselves: 165 language groups are in existence within the schools.

Exploring Difference: The Canadian Example

This short presentation will begin by outlining the key characteristics of teacher education in Canada with specific reference to its constitutionally sanctioned and jealously guarded diversity. The net effect of this diversity means that it is misleading to speak about any kind of national system of teacher education because there are 10 provincial and 3 territorial education systems already in place.

Given the difficulty of addressing the individual characteristics of each provincial and territorial teacher education system, I will select specific examples (the provinces of Quebec, Ontario and Alberta) and use these examples to highlight different approaches used to attract strong and ethnically diverse teacher candidates to the teaching profession. In terms of attempts to promote mobility, internationalization and intercultural competence, I will examine the current initiative by the Association of Canadian Deans of Education to develop a national accord on internationalization in Canadian faculties of education.

Finally, I will focus on the ways in which the University of Alberta’s Faculty of Education attempts to tie together subject specific qualification, pedagogical content, and school-based teaching practice in the context of mobility and internationalization.
Teacher education for diversities in Finland

The situation in Finland is exceptionally favourable for teacher education and education in general. Teaching is still one of the most popular career choices among the Finns, especially among women. The Finnish success in PISA studies has been attributed to well-educated teachers and a comparatively egalitarian education system.

The recent influx of immigrants since the 1990s in Finland has, however, added new challenges for teachers and teacher education. In this relatively new situation, well-educated teachers have considered themselves helpless and incompetent when working with immigrant children. As a consequence, teachers have felt that their capability to teach and educate was undermined, which has affected to their professional identity and self-confidence (Talib 1999, 2005; Soilamo 2008).

As a response to the changed demography and new situation in school life, the University of Helsinki started multicultural class teacher and kindergarten teacher education programs in 2008 in order to provide better understanding of diversity and multicultural education. One important goal was to enhance student teachers’ intercultural competences.

I would like to address the following:

1. What kind of courses are provided to enhance student teachers intercultural competence. Best practices at the University of Helsinki and results from 5 years of Multicultural Teacher Education programs.
2. What is done at the universities in Finland to persuaded minority students to join the teaching profession?
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Teacher Education Issues in Quality

Currently, the prestige of teacher education quality seems to have fallen in the eyes of the general public, fomented by educational journalists, while the demands for entering and continuing in teacher education programs have become more stringent and selective. Another measure of quality is reflected in the entrance requirement of teacher education programs, which are set by both individual institutions of higher education and, in many cases, by a state Department of Education. Teacher education is regulated by the individual states, although many teacher education programs have chosen to adhere to the standards set and evaluated by professional groups.

A continually emerging factor in the quality of teacher education is diversity, both from the position of recognizing how to work with diverse populations, but also the diversity of the teacher force being produced. Is there a disconnect between a diverse population of students and a less diverse population of teachers? To what degree does or should teacher education reflect the population of the U.S. and, most specifically, the population of the nation’s schools? Teacher testing is intended to improve the quality of the teacher education cohort. What research is there that testing or at least the testing now being administered improves teacher quality? Technology is another measure that some use to evaluate teacher education quality. How facile are teachers with new technology? How much technology should be prevalent in a teacher education program?

Opportunities for field-work are an area where teacher quality can be judged in some manner. How many field experiences? How varied? What duration? Finally, the overarching concern. “How do we recognize a good teacher?” and how does that relate to the teacher education program from which they emerge?
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